IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

DANIEL FUERST,

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

ROBERT HUMPHREYS,

14-cv-556-jdp

Respondent.

Pro se petitioner Daniel Fuerst has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. After screening the petition, I directed petitioner to show cause why it should not be dismissed. Dkt. 4. I concluded that the petition did not appear to be timely and that petitioner did not present sufficient facts supporting each ground for relief. *Id.* I gave petitioner until April 25, 2016, to respond to the order, and I warned him that if he failed to respond, then I would dismiss his petition. *Id.* The deadline for a response has now past and petitioner has not responded to my order.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner Daniel Fuerst's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED.
- 2. The clerk of court is directed to close this case.

Entered June 2, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

ALLONG D. DETERD CO.L.

JAMES D. PETERSON District Judge